CEMA를 이용한 수소 난류 제트 화염의 동축류 온도에 따른 연소모드 진단

김승욱*. 정기성*. Jacqueline H. Chen**.유춘상*[†]

Diagnostic of combustion modes of turbulent hydrogen jet flames using chemical explosive mode analysis (CEMA)

Seung Ook Kim^{*}, Ki Sung Jung^{*}, Jacqueline H. Chen^{**}, Chun Sang Yoo^{*†}

ABSTRACT

The flame structure of turbulent lifted hydrogen jet flames with different coflow temperatures (i.e. $T_c = 750, 850, \text{ and } 950 \text{ K}$) is analyzed with chemical explosive mode analysis (CEMA). 3–D direct numerical simulations (DNSs) were performed with 1.28 billion grid points. CEMA identifies local combustion modes, such as auto-ignition, ignition assisted by diffusion and extinction. The local reaction and diffusion source terms are projected to the eigenvector of Jacobian matrix of reaction source term. α (a local combustion mode indicator) defined as ratio of projected diffusion term to reaction term shows local combustion mode of lifted flame.

Key Words : lifted flame, DNS, CEMA, combustion mode

Three direct numerical simulations (DNSs) of turbulent lifted jet flames were performed in a three dimensional slot-burner configuration. Fuel issues from a central jet, which consists of 65% hydrogen and 35% nitrogen by volume at an inlet temperature of $T_j = 400$ K. Heated coat three flow air streams different temperatures of $T_c = 750$ (Case L), 850 (Case M), and 950 K (Case H) surround central fuel jet with atmospheric pressure. The fuel jet and coflow velocities are specified as $U_i = 240 \text{ m/s}$ and and $U_c = 2$ m/s, respectively. The fuel jet width, H, is 2 m.

Chemical explosive mode analysis (CEMA) is used to analyze flame structures near the flamebases [1-3].

Reacting flow is governed by following differential equations

$$\frac{Dy}{Dt} = g(y) = \omega(y) + s(y)$$

where D/Dt is the material derivative and y represents the solution vector of species concentrations and temperature. $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and \boldsymbol{s} represent the chemical source non-chemical source terms, respectively.

The Jacobian of the chemical source term J_{ω} and its eigen value ($\lambda_e = b_e \cdot J_{\omega} \cdot a_e$; a_e and b_e are right and left eigenvectors) is defined as chemical explosive mode (CEM) where λ_e is positive and only considered real part. Projected chemical source term (ϕ_{ω}) and non-chemical source (diffusion) term (ϕ_s) are shown in following equations [3].

$$\phi_{\omega} = b_e \cdot \omega, \quad \phi_s = b_e \cdot s.$$

The ratio of ϕ_s to ϕ_ω is defined as local combustion mode indicator α :

$$\alpha = \phi_s / \phi_\omega$$

which describes three different local combustion modes: (1) $\alpha > 1$: the assisted-ignition mode, where diffusion affects significant at this mode. (2) $-1 < \alpha < 1$: the auto-ignition mode, where reaction term is dominant. (3) $\alpha < -1$: the local extinction mode: where the ignition process depressed by large amount of dissipation.

Chemical Damköhler number $Da_c = \lambda_e \cdot \chi^{-1}$ is introduced to elucidate combustion state near the flamebase, χ is scalar dissipation rate defined by $\chi = 2D|\nabla\xi|^2$ where D is local thermal diffusivity. Here, we explain Da_c briefly. For more details of Da_c , readers are referred to a previous study [1]. Da_c is ratio between CEM to χ . λ_e represents reciprocal of chemical time scale and χ represents

^{*} 유니스트 기계공학과

^{**} Combustion research facility, Sandia National Laboratories

[†] 연락저자, <u>csyoo@unist.ac.kr</u>

TEL: (052)217-2322 FAX: (052)-217-2309



Fig. 1 Scatter plot of combustion mode indicator versus scalar dissipation rate at flamebase; (a) Case H, (b) Case M and (c) Case L. Solid lines indicate α is 1 and -1.

reciprocal of dissipation time scale. Local mixture that has large positive Da_c means that this mixture would ignite; otherwise ignition would be suppressed by the large dissipation of species or energy.

Flamebase is defined as iso-surface of the mass fraction of OH species Y_{OH} is 0.001 [4]. The steady liftoff heights are 4.8 mm (Case H), 8 mm (Case M) and 10.6 mm (Case L). Figure 1 shows the scatter plot of α versus χ on the flamebase at certain time.

In case of L, α , having large positive values, is distributed low scalar dissipation rate region. Therefore, diffusion-assisted ignition is believed to occur near the flamebase in Case L. As the coflow temperature goes up, diffusion-



Fig. 2 Scatter plot of Da_c versus combustion mode indicator at flamebase; (a) Case H, (b) Case M and (c) Case L. Solid lines indicate α is 1 and -1.

assisted ignition combustion mode becomes weak.

Figure 2 shows Da_c versus α at the flamebase for 3 different cases. In this figure, Da_c of all three cases indicates that local mixture undergoes ignition process. The case L scatter plot shows that diffusion assisted ignition is relatively strong compared to large coflow temperature cases. In case of L, some points have $Da_c \approx 1$ at high α . It means dissipation and reaction balance each other. In case of H, most of points locate whitin auto-ignition zones where α is between 1 and -1. In case of M, the coflow temperature is second explosion limit, and hence, the flamebase is stabilized by a mixed mode between auto-ignition and diffusion-assisted ignition.

In this paper, combustion modes of lifted flames with 3 different coflow temperatures were studied with CEMA. The combustion mode of Case L near the flamebase exhibits auto-ignition which is highly affected by diffusion with low scalar dissipation rates. For Case H, the lifted flamebase has auto-ignition dominated combustion mode. For Case M (850 K), its combustion mode exhibits the mixed characteristics of Cases L and H.

후 기

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (NRF-2018R1A2A2A05018901).

참고 문헌

[1] T. Lu, C. S. Yoo, J. H. Chen, C. K. Law, J. Fluid Mech. 652, 2010, pp 45-64.

[2] Z. Luo, C. S. Yoo, E. S. Richardson, J. H. Chen, C. K. Law, Combust. Flame 159, 2012, pp 265-274.

[3] C. Xu, J. W. Park, C. S. Yoo, J. H. Chen T. Lu, "Identification of premixed flame propagation modes using chemical explosive mode analysis", Proc. Combust. Inst., 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2018.07.069.

[4] C. S. Yoo, R. Sankaran, J. H. Chen, J. Fluid Mech. 640, 2009, pp 453-481.